# ALTERNATIVE GOVERNANCE AND ELECTRICITY SERVICE STUDY - IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT

November 2023

Prepared For: Riverside and Imperial County Local Agency Formation Commissions







# AGENDA

01.
Overview of the Governance Study

02. Study Approach

03.
Options Evaluated

04.
Recommendations



# STUDY OVERVIEW

03 E.S. Overview of the Role of the Local **Executive Electrical Service Energy Service Agency Formation** Summary Stakeholders for the Coachella Commission Valley 05 06 04 Uncertain **Utility Ownership Alternative Energy Outlook Study Approach** Models for **Electrical** and Analysis for Coachella **Electrical Service Service Options** Valley

# STUDY APPROACH AND ANALYSIS

# Evaluation of Alternative Governance Structures consisted of the following actions:



Conduct extensive outreach



Develop stakeholder-driven evaluation criteria



Identify
foundational
differences
between models



Rank alternatives against evaluation criteria

## STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH

Stakeholder feedback was used to provide an independent assessment of alternative governance and service options. To allow for a successful stakeholder driven input process, outreach consisted of the following.

- Identified a comprehensive list of stakeholders that included approximately 50 different local and special interest groups.
- Developed an electrical service questionnaire to seek input on items of interest and opinions.
- Scheduled 12 individual stakeholder discussions to further obtain insight on matters related to existing and future electrical service provisions for the Coachella Valley.
- Provided extended public review and comment period (March 31, 2023 thru
  October 15, 2023). A total of 13 comment letters were received.



# STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK

### Local Representation and Local Control

- Top priority regardless of option.
- Publicly owned and governed with flexible structure.

### System Reliability

- East Coachella Valley experiences frequent/prolonged outages.
- · Aging facilities and capacity limitations system wide.

### **Economic Development**

- Unable to accommodate growth and new development.
- Unclear how overdue capital improvements will be funded.
- · Improvements with planning and implementation process needed.

### **Industry Trends**

- Concerns with electrification and achieving renewable goals.
- · Limited local power program offerings, i.e. rooftop solar, etc.

### Affordability

- Uncertain how existing customer rates will be impacted.
- Cost to form new entity and/or energy utility.















# STAKEHOLDER-DRIVEN FOUNDATIONAL OBJECTIVES

The following objectives were derived from stakeholder feedback to aid in ranking and prioritizing each Alternative Option.

- Public and Local Governed Entity
- Provides Representation
- Maintain Advisory Role
- Flexible Financing Opportunities
- Ability to Own Assets
- Financial Policy Oversight
- Capital Planning Oversight
- Structure Uncomplicated to Implement
- Expands Public Benefits

- Achieve Industry Maintenance Standards
- Use of Efficient Public Resources
- Promote Local Renewable Energy Programs
- Achieve Vertically Integrated Status
- Ability to Adapt
- Minimizes Financial Risk to Rate Payers
- Local Control of Distribution Assets
- Maximize Public Involvement

# UTILITY OWNERSHIP MODELS

### Investor-Owned Utility (IOU)

State governed, for-profit private entity providing service in franchised areas. Regulated by California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).



### **Municipal Utility**

Locally governed, non-profit organization created by voter approval. Regulated by City Council or elected commission.



### **Public Utility District**

Locally governed, non-profit organization created for specific public services. Operate under a principal act or a special act.

Regulated by elected or appointed Board of Directors.





### Electric Cooperative (Co-Op)

Locally governed, not-for-profit private entity formed for the sole purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity exclusively to its stockholders or members at-cost. Regulated by the CPUC.



# Community Choice Aggregators (CCA)

Locally governed within IOU service area, not-for-profit private entity formed for the sole purpose of securing power exclusively to its stakeholders or members at-cost.



# Joint Powers Authority/Agency (JPA)

Locally governed, non-profit organization created by two or more public agencies for a specific range of common public services within geographically defined area. Operate under a Joint Exercise of Powers Act.

Regulated by either elected or appointed Board of Directors.

# ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS MATRIX

	Alternative Option No. 1 - IID provides majority of electrical service provisions				Alternative Option No. 2 - IID provides a modified level of electrical service provisions			
ADDRESSES OBJECTIVE     POTENTIAL TO ADDRESS OBJECTIVE DEPENING ON THE PROPOSED UTILITY     DOES NOT ADDRESS OBJECTIVE  Foundational Objectives	Option 1.A: Status Quo	Option 1.B: Annex Coachella Valley into IID	Option 1.C: Sub- Board of Directors for IID	Option 1.D: Joint Powers Authority	Option 2.A: Investor-Owned Utility	Option 2.B: Public Owned Utility with Specific Roles	Option 2.C: Vertically Integrated Public Owned Utility	Option 2.D: Form a Community Choice Aggregation
Publicly and Locally Governed Entity	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Provides Representation for Coachella Valley Customers	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Maintain an Advisory Role for Non-Responsible Electrical Service Provisions	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Provides Flexible Financing Opportunities	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Structure that Can Own Electrical Assets	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Oversight of Financial Policies	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Oversight of Capital Planning to Support Economic Development	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Structure Uncomplicated to Implement	•	0	•	•	0	•	•	0
Expand Public Benefits	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Achieve Industry Maintenance Standards	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Use of Efficient Public Resources	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Promote Local Renewable Energy Programs and Collaboratives	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	•
Ability to Achieve Vertically Integrated Utility Status	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Ability to Adapt to Future Changes and Responsibilities	0	0	•	•	0	•	0	0
Minimizes Risk to Rate Payers	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•
Provides Local Control for Distribution Assets	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0
Maximize Public Involvement	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0

### KEY DETERMINATIONS AND SUGGESTED ACTIONS

### **Top Ranked Alternative Options**

The fellowing items on the second of the state of the second of the seco					
The following items are fundamental for stakeholders to clearly weigh the associated benefits and risks of each alternative.	Option 1.D: Joint Powers Authority	Option 2.B: Form Publicly Owned Util			
Identify preferred governance alternative and IID services	Required	Required			
Determine enabling legislation requirements	Required	Required			
Establish service territory	Not Required	Required			
Obtain asset information and inventory	Not Required	Required			
Obtain legal opinion on Leased Power Rights, Investments, and ability to secure service rights	Required	Required			
Perform asset valuation and conditional assessment	Not Required	Required			
Determine acquisition, upgrade, and severance costs	Not Required	Required			
Perform financial evaluation	Not Required <sup>(1)</sup>	Required			
Determine financing options and bonding capacity	Not Required <sup>(1)</sup>	Required			
Perform rate study	Not Required	Required			
Establish community engagement program	Required	Required			

Notes: (1) Potentially required if Public Financing Authority is pursued.





