

**From:** [K Elliott](#)  
**To:** [LAFCO Information](#)  
**Subject:** Murrieta FMSR Questions - projected costs for single family residences  
**Date:** Thursday, January 28, 2021 11:21:13 PM

---

In reviewing the projected costs for single family residences in Appendix B I have a few questions.

**1 – How were the different assumptions for daily indoor water usage (the amount that gets the least expensive water rates) taken into consideration?** The text and appendix notes only refer to WMWD’s 60 gallons/person/day but the other water district websites show only 55 gallons/person/day for RCWD and EMWD.

2 –It appears that RCWD was assumed to use the 60 gallon budget figure that WMWD uses, a change which is significant for large families. **Did RCWD provide information on how long this change (an 8% increase in the lower priced Tier 1 allotment) would remain in effect? Would it only be if the Study Area remains a distinct district, since the Santa Rosa district has the lower budget? What other policies would change if the Study Area were no longer a distinct district within RCWD? Would the higher “Pre & Post 2003 Annex” rates be applied to us?**

3 – **Why was no increase in rates assumed for 3 years (FY 27/28 – FY 29/30) for EMWD and both RCWD scenarios (but only for the last 2 years for WMWD)? Why weren’t consistent increases assumed for all 10 years?** I see that EMWD does assume an increase in the fixed charges, (Table B-5, lines 227-235) and the commodity charges, (Table B-5, lines 268-273) for current EMWD customers but not for the Study Area.

4 – **Although most of the study area isn’t subject to the Zone 8 pumping charge under WMWD, would customers subject to that charge also be subject to RCWDs energy charge?** (\$0.06 in addition to the \$0.234 WMWD charges per HCF)

5 – **Based on what I read in Appendix B, it appears there will be a dramatic (more than 30%) decrease in water bill costs with EMWD once the Acquisition Balance is paid off in 12 years. Is this correct?**

Kathryn