

From: [\[name\]](#)
To: [LAFCO Information](#)
Subject: Riverside LAFCO Contact Form Submission "Murrieta Water Study"
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 3:48:04 PM

From: R Adams
Subject: Murrieta Water Study

Message Body:

I am a longtime resident in Murrieta. I am concerned about our wells and having a safe water system. I have some question for you.

Can someone explain what is meant by the legacy historic downtown improvements and the fire flow upgrades shown throughout the area - what does the \$5m include?

What is up with this 3rd well? It is referred to but it's inconsistent. \$5m in note for WMWD buried in an appendix a few times but not shown in the doc. What is the significance of the 3rd well?

How do the different districts approach on groundwater compare? It matters to well owners.

Do the various ways of funding the pipes in undeveloped area impact current residents?

I've heard that the AV is used to pay down RCWD debt for pipes they built years ago. Do they have more debt than the other 2 agencies? How does their debt compares between the 3?

Both WMWD and RCWD assume they have to borrow the \$5m for legacy improvements. (30 yrs at 4% interest plus fees!) But I don't see EMWD assuming any debt service. Why not?

Thank you for your time.

--

This e-mail was sent from the "Contact Us" form on the Riverside LAFCO WordPress website.